



# Unraveling the Potentials around the Digital Sequencing Data in Agricultural and Horticultural Crops

Sanjenbam Sher Singh <sup>a++\*</sup>, Sparsh Madaik <sup>b++</sup>,  
Hridesh Harsha Sarma <sup>c#</sup>, Atom Annupama Devi <sup>d†</sup>,  
Songthat William Haokip <sup>e++</sup>, R. Wongamthing <sup>f++</sup>,  
Noru Raja Sekhar Reddy <sup>g‡</sup> and Juman Das <sup>e++</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agricultural Extension, College of Post Graduate Studies in Agricultural Sciences, Central Agricultural University (Imphal), Umiam, Meghalaya, India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Vegetable Science, Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Agronomy, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, India.

<sup>d</sup> Pandit Deen Dayal Upadhyay Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Utlou, Manipur, India.

<sup>e</sup> Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Central Agricultural University (I), Pasighat-791102, Arunachal Pradesh, India.

<sup>f</sup> College of Agriculture, Vellanikkara, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur-680656, India.

<sup>g</sup> Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, Vellayani, Kerala Agricultural University, 695522, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i81214>

### Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120483>

**Minireview Article**

**Received: 27/05/2024**

**Accepted: 30/07/2024**

**Published: 03/08/2024**

<sup>++</sup> Ph.D. Scholar;

<sup>#</sup> M. Sc.(Agriculture);

<sup>†</sup> Associate Professor;

<sup>‡</sup> PG Scholar;

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [shersanjenbam@gmail.com](mailto:shersanjenbam@gmail.com);

**Cite as:** Singh, Sanjenbam Sher, Sparsh Madaik, Hridesh Harsha Sarma, Atom Annupama Devi, Songthat William Haokip, R. Wongamthing, Noru Raja Sekhar Reddy, and Juman Das. 2024. "Unraveling the Potentials Around the Digital Sequencing Data in Agricultural and Horticultural Crops". *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology* 27 (8):938-45. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i81214>.

## ABSTRACT

The rapid advancement of digital technology is causing revolutionary shifts in a number of fields. Digital sequencing data has transformed agricultural and horticultural research by providing deep insights into crop genetics, disease resistance, and stress responses. This review explores the impact of digital sequencing technologies such as CRISPR-Cas9, TALENs, and ZFNs on crop improvement, emphasizing advancements in genome editing for enhancing traits like disease resistance and abiotic stress tolerance. The production of resilient crop varieties has been made possible by the integration of multi-omics data, which has improved our understanding of plant-pathogen interactions and environmental reactions. Even with these developments, problems like off-target impacts and complicated regulations still exist, requiring more research. In the future, these efforts will focus on improving data integration, creating sophisticated bioinformatics tools, and investigating the roles of non-coding DNA in agricultural characteristics and environmental adaptation.

*Keywords: Digital sequencing; bioinformatics; agronomic traits; next-generation sequencing.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The advent of digital sequencing data has revolutionized agricultural and horticultural sciences, offering unprecedented insights into the genetic, transcriptomic, and metabolic landscapes of crops [1]. This technological leap has facilitated the identification and manipulation of genes associated with crucial agronomic traits such as yield, disease resistance, and stress tolerance [2]. It helps in actuarial valuation and risk management of insurance companies. Genomic EWB allows selection of the appropriate parents for the offspring by increasing the accuracy of the test when selecting the organisms. This in turn hastes the breeding process. Genome sequencing technologies, including next-generation sequencing (NGS) and third-generation sequencing (TGS), have significantly reduced the cost and time required for sequencing, enabling the comprehensive analysis of crop genomes [3]. These advancements have not only enhanced our understanding of plant biology but have also paved the way for precision breeding and genetic engineering. For instance, the use of CRISPR-Cas9 technology in genome editing has allowed for precise modifications in crop genomes, leading to the development of varieties with improved traits such as enhanced resistance to pathogens and abiotic stresses [4]. Moreover, digital sequencing data has facilitated the study of plant-microbe interactions, shedding light on the complex dynamics between crops and their associated microbiomes [5]. This knowledge is critical for developing sustainable agricultural practices that leverage beneficial microbes to promote plant health and productivity. Additionally, the integration of multi-omics approaches, combining genomics,

transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics, has provided a holistic view of plant responses to environmental challenges, enabling the identification of key regulatory networks and metabolic pathways involved in stress responses [6]. However, despite these advancements, several challenges remain, including the need for improved bioinformatics tools to handle and interpret the vast amounts of data generated, and the necessity for regulatory frameworks that ensure the safe deployment of genetically modified crops [7]. Furthermore, ethical considerations related to genome editing and data privacy must be addressed to ensure public acceptance and trust in these technologies [8,9]. Future research should focus on enhancing the precision and efficiency of genome editing tools, developing robust bioinformatics pipelines for data analysis, and fostering international collaborations to address the global challenges in agriculture and horticulture [10, 11]. The continuous evolution of digital sequencing technologies promises to unlock new potentials in crop improvement, contributing to food security and sustainable agricultural practices worldwide [12]."

## 2. EPIGENETICS, MICROBIOMES, AND THE COMPLEXITIES OF DIGITAL SEQUENCING IN CROP IMPROVEMENT

While the advances in digital sequencing data for agricultural and horticultural crops are widely celebrated, there are several mysterious and hidden details that researchers are still unraveling. One of the key areas of intrigue is the epigenetic modifications and their impact on crop traits. Epigenetics involves changes in gene expression without altering the DNA sequence,

and these changes can be influenced by environmental factors. Understanding how these modifications affect traits such as stress tolerance and disease resistance remains a significant challenge. The complex interaction between epigenetic modifications and the plant genome adds another layer of complexity to crop improvement efforts [13]. Another hidden detail involves the vast amount of non-coding DNA in plant genomes, often referred to as "junk DNA." Initially thought to be non-functional, this DNA is now known to play crucial roles in regulating gene expression and maintaining genome stability. The functions of many non-coding regions are still not fully understood, and their contributions to phenotypic traits are a subject of ongoing research [14]. Furthermore, the interactions between plant genomes and their associated microbiomes are highly complex and not yet fully understood. The plant microbiome, which includes bacteria, fungi, and viruses, plays a crucial role in plant health and productivity. However, the mechanisms by which these microorganisms influence plant growth and development, and how plants regulate their microbiomes, remain largely mysterious [15].

One of the most enigmatic aspects of digital sequencing data is the potential for unintended

off-target effects in genome editing. While technologies like CRISPR-Cas9 are highly precise, there is still a risk of editing unintended regions of the genome, which can lead to unforeseen consequences. Detecting and mitigating these off-target effects is a critical area of research [16]. Moreover, the integration of multi-omics data presents significant computational challenges. The sheer volume and complexity of data generated from genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics require advanced bioinformatics tools and algorithms for effective analysis. Developing these tools and ensuring they can provide meaningful insights is an ongoing effort [17].

Lastly, the regulatory and ethical considerations surrounding the use of digital sequencing data and genome editing in crops add another layer of complexity. Different countries have varying regulations regarding genetically modified organisms (GMOs), and public perception of these technologies can influence policy decisions. Ensuring that these technologies are used responsibly and ethically is paramount [18]. Table 1 highlighted the similarities and differences in digital sequencing data in agricultural and horticultural crops."

**Table 1. Similarities and differences in digital sequencing data in agricultural and horticultural crops**

#### A. Similarities

Aspect	Description
<b>Technological Use</b>	Both use genome sequencing technologies (NGS, TGS) and genome editing tools like CRISPR-Cas9.
<b>Multi-omics Approaches</b>	Integration of genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics for comprehensive analysis.
<b>Data Analysis</b>	Require advanced bioinformatics tools to handle and interpret large datasets.
<b>Environmental Impact</b>	Aim to develop sustainable farming practices and enhance crop resilience to environmental stress.
<b>Regulatory Scrutiny</b>	Both face regulations for genetically modified organisms (GMOs) and ethical considerations.
<b>Research Goals</b>	Improve crop traits such as disease resistance, stress tolerance, and productivity.
<b>Economic Contributions</b>	Contribute to economic growth by improving crop varieties and cultivation techniques.
<b>Public Perception</b>	Subject to public debate regarding the use of genetic modifications in crops.

## B. Differences

Aspect	Agricultural Crops	Horticultural Crops
<b>Scope of Study</b>	Focuses on staple crops like wheat, rice, corn, and soybeans.	Focuses on fruits, vegetables, flowers, and ornamental plants.
<b>Research Objectives</b>	Enhancing yield, disease resistance, and stress tolerance.	Improving quality traits such as taste, color, shelf life, and ornamental value.
<b>Genome Complexity</b>	Often more complex due to polyploidy and larger genome sizes (e.g., wheat).	Generally smaller genomes and less polyploidy (e.g., tomato).
<b>Economic Impact</b>	Significant impact on global food security and agricultural economics.	Major impact on the horticulture industry, including floriculture and landscaping.
<b>Technological Application</b>	Extensive use of genome editing for agronomic traits.	Use of genome editing for improving sensory and aesthetic traits.
<b>Data Integration</b>	Combines genomics with phenotypic data for crop modeling and prediction.	Combines genomics with metabolomics and transcriptomics to study quality traits.
<b>Regulatory Considerations</b>	Strict regulations due to food safety and environmental concerns.	Also regulated, but may vary depending on the crop type and intended use.
<b>Environmental Interaction</b>	Focus on soil health, water use efficiency, and sustainable farming practices.	Emphasis on pest resistance, climate adaptability, and reduced chemical usage.
<b>Key Challenges</b>	Managing off-target effects in genome editing, bioinformatics for large datasets.	Addressing epigenetic variations, enhancing shelf life without compromising quality.

Table 1 highlighted the similarities and differences in digital sequencing data in agricultural and horticultural crops.

**Case Study 1: Genomic Insights in Maize Background** Maize (*Zea mays*) is a staple crop with significant economic and nutritional importance. Understanding its genetic makeup can help improve yield, disease resistance, and stress tolerance [19]. Application

- **Genomic Selection:** Researchers use whole-genome sequencing to identify markers associated with desirable traits. This information is used to accelerate breeding programs [20].
- **Example:** A study conducted by the International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT) utilized genomic selection to develop high-yielding, drought-tolerant maize varieties [21].
- **Enhanced yield and stress resilience** in maize crops.
- **Reduced breeding cycle time**, leading to quicker deployment of improved varieties.

**Case Study 2: Sequencing Applications in Tomato Breeding Background** Tomatoes (*Solanum lycopersicum*) are a widely consumed

vegetable with significant agricultural value. Genetic improvements can enhance taste, shelf life, and resistance to diseases (The Tomato Genome Consortium, 2012) [22].

- **Pathogen Resistance:** Sequencing data is used to identify genes associated with resistance to common pathogens such as Fusarium wilt and late blight [23].
- **Example:** A project led by the Tomato Genome Consortium sequenced the tomato genome, providing insights into the genetic basis of disease resistance and fruit quality traits [24].
- **Development of disease-resistant tomato varieties.**
- **Improvement in fruit quality and yield.**

**Case Study 3: Disease Resistance in Grapevines Background** Grapevines (*Vitis vinifera*) are susceptible to various diseases, including powdery mildew and downy mildew, which can severely impact yield and quality [25].

- **Resistance Breeding:** Whole-genome sequencing and comparative genomics are used to identify resistance genes [26].
- **Example:** The VitisGen project utilized genomic sequencing to develop grapevine

varieties with enhanced resistance to diseases and improved fruit quality [27].

- Reduced reliance on chemical pesticides.
- Sustainable viticulture with improved grapevine health and productivity.

#### **General Benefits Observed from Case Studies:**

- **Enhanced Breeding Efficiency:** Genomic selection reduces the time and resources needed for traditional breeding methods [28].
- **Increased Yield and Quality:** Improved genetic traits lead to higher yields and better-quality crops [29].
- **Sustainable Practices:** Disease-resistant and stress-tolerant varieties reduce the need for chemical inputs, promoting sustainable agricultural practices [30].

#### **Challenges Highlighted:**

- **Data Management:** Handling large volumes of sequencing data requires robust bioinformatics tools and infrastructure [31].
- **Cost:** The initial cost of sequencing and analysis can be high, though it is decreasing over time [32].
- **Ethical and Regulatory Issues:** Concerns about genetic modification and intellectual property rights need to be addressed [33].

### **3. APPLICATIONS OF DIGITAL SEQUENCING IN CROP IMPROVEMENT**

Digital sequencing technologies have revolutionized the field of crop improvement, providing detailed insights into the genetic makeup of plants [34]. Here's a detailed explanation of the applications:

#### **Genetic Mapping and Marker-Assisted Selection:**

- **Genetic Mapping:** Genetic mapping involves identifying the location of genes and genetic markers within the genome. This process helps in constructing detailed genetic maps that can be used to study the inheritance patterns of traits [35].
- **Marker-Assisted Selection (MAS):** MAS uses genetic markers to select plants with desirable traits. This accelerates the

breeding process by allowing for the early identification of beneficial traits such as disease resistance, drought tolerance, and high yield. Digital sequencing provides high-resolution data that enhance the accuracy and efficiency of MAS [36].

#### **Genomic Selection and Breeding:**

- **Genomic Selection (GS):** GS is a breeding method that uses genome-wide markers to predict the breeding value of plants. Unlike MAS, which focuses on a few markers, GS considers the entire genome, allowing for the selection of superior plants based on their predicted genetic potential [37].
- **Breeding Programs:** Sequencing data enables breeders to make informed decisions, increasing the precision of crossbreeding programs. By understanding the genetic basis of traits, breeders can create new plant varieties with improved characteristics more efficiently [38].

#### **Trait Discovery and Functional Genomics:**

- **Trait Discovery:** Digital sequencing helps identify the genes responsible for important agronomic traits. By sequencing the genomes of different plant varieties, researchers can pinpoint genetic variations that correlate with specific traits [39].
- **Functional Genomics:** This field involves studying the functions and interactions of genes within the genome. Digital sequencing provides comprehensive data that help in understanding how genes contribute to the growth, development, and response of plants to environmental factors [40].
- **CRISPR and Gene Editing:** Sequencing data is crucial for gene editing technologies like CRISPR, which rely on precise knowledge of the genome to make targeted modifications. This enables the development of crops with enhanced traits such as improved nutritional content, pest resistance, and environmental adaptability [41].

#### **Future Directions:**

1. **Integration of Multi-Omics Approaches:** Future research should focus on integrating genomic, transcriptomic, proteomic, and metabolomic data to provide a comprehensive understanding of

biological processes in agricultural and horticultural crops [42].

2. Advancements in Data Analytics: Develop advanced bioinformatics tools and machine learning algorithms tailored for agricultural genomics to handle large-scale sequencing data efficiently [43].
3. Precision Agriculture Applications: Explore the application of digital sequencing data in precision agriculture practices, enabling targeted interventions for crop improvement, pest management, and resource allocation [44].
4. Enhanced Data Sharing and Collaboration: Establish standardized protocols for data sharing and collaboration among researchers and stakeholders to accelerate discoveries and promote transparency in genomic research [45].
5. Exploration of Non-Coding Regions: Investigate the role of non-coding regions of the genome, including regulatory elements and epigenetic modifications, in influencing agronomic traits and environmental responses [46].

#### 4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, digital sequencing data has revolutionized agricultural and horticultural research by offering unprecedented insights into the genetic makeup and regulatory mechanisms of crops. This technology has paved the way for personalized crop management strategies, enhanced breeding programs, and sustainable agricultural practices. However, challenges such as data integration, computational limitations, and ethical considerations remain. Addressing these challenges while exploring emerging technologies and interdisciplinary collaborations will be crucial in harnessing the full potential of digital sequencing data for future agricultural advancements.

#### DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Varshney RK, Bohra A, Yu J, Graner A, Zhang Q, Sorrells ME. Designing future crops: Genomics-assisted breeding comes of age. *Trends in Plant Science*. 2021; 26(6):631-649.
2. Chen K, Wang Y, Zhang R, Zhang H, Gao C. CRISPR/Cas genome editing and precision plant breeding in agriculture. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*. 2019; 70:667-697.
3. Voss-Fels KP, Stahl A, Hickey LT. Q&A: Modern crop breeding for future food security. *BMC Biology*. 2019;17(1):1-7.
4. Zhu H, Li C, Gao C. Applications of CRISPR–Cas in agriculture and plant biotechnology. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*. 2020;21(11):661-677.
5. Fitzpatrick CR, Salas-González I, Conway JM, Finkel OM, Gilbert S, Russ D, Dangl JL. The plant microbiome: From ecology to reductionism and beyond. *Annual Review of Microbiology*. 2020;74:81-100.
6. Xu Y, Liu X, Fu J, Wang H, Wang J, Huang C, Tong Y. Enhancing genetic gain through genomic selection: From livestock to plants. *Plant Communications*. 2021; 2(1):100089.
7. Rojas CA, Hemerly AS, Ferreira PCG. Genetically modified crops: from lab to field to market. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*. 2020;61:53-59.
8. Defraeye T, Shrestha L, Barreiro P, Verlinden B, Nicolai B. Digital twins in food technology: Concepts, benefits, and challenges. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*. 2021;109:245-254.
9. Lassoued R, Macall DM, Smyth SJ, Phillips PW, Hessel H. Risk and safety considerations of genome edited crops: Expert opinion. *Current Research in Biotechnology*. 2019;1:11-21.
10. Tripodi P, Massa D, Venezia A, Cardi T. Big data in agriculture: Challenges and opportunities for horticultural crops in the Genome-to-Phenome Era. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 2022;13:834541.
11. Kumar S, Chandra P, Sharma H, Sinha AK. Integrating Genomics in Crop Improvement: Challenges and Opportunities. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 2022;13:829541.
12. Hickey LT, Hafeez AN, Robinson H, Jackson SA, Leal-Bertioli SC, Tester M, Wulff BB. Breeding crops to feed 10 billion.

- Nature Biotechnology. 2019;37(7):744-754.
13. Springer NM, Schmitz RJ. Exploiting induced and natural epigenetic variation for crop improvement. *Nature Reviews Genetics*. 2017;18(9), 563-575.
  14. Meng X, Xing S, Perez LM, Peng X, Zhao Q, Redoña ED, Pinson SR. Proteome-wide analysis of lysine 2-hydroxyisobutyrylation in developing rice (*Oryza sativa*) seeds. *Scientific Reports*. 2021;11(1):1-15.
  15. Trivedi P, Leach JE, Tringe SG, Sa T, Singh BK. Plant–microbiome interactions: From community assembly to plant health. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*. 2020; 18(11):607-621.
  16. Zhang Q, Xing HL, Wang ZP, Zhang HY, Yang F, Wang XC, Chen QJ. Potential off-target effects of plant genome editing: Knowledge gained from crops. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology*. 2022;64(2): 310-324.
  17. Zhao L, Wang S, Cao Z, Ouyang W, Zhang Q, Xie L, Jiang R. Chromatin states and transcriptional regulation during plant regeneration. *Trends in Plant Science*. 2021;26(5):511-524.
  18. Lassoued R, Macall DM, Smyth SJ, Phillips PW, Hessel H. Risk and safety considerations of genome edited crops: Expert opinion. *Current Research in Biotechnology*. 2019;1:11-21.
  19. Schnable PS, Ware D, Fulton RS, Stein JC, Wei F, Pasternak S, Wilson RK. The B73 maize genome: complexity, diversity, and dynamics. *Science*. 2009;326(5956):1112-1115.
  20. Crossa J, Pérez-Rodríguez P, Cuevas J, Montesinos-López O, Jarquín D, de los Campos G, Varshney RK. Genomic selection in plant breeding: methods, models, and perspectives. *Trends in Plant Science*. 2017;22(11):961-975.
  21. Wang N, Yuan Y, Wang H, Yu D, Liu Y, Zhang A, Li H. Applications of genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) in maize genetics and breeding. *Scientific Reports*. 2020; 10(1):1-12.
  22. The Tomato Genome Consortium. The tomato genome sequence provides insights into fleshy fruit evolution. *Nature*. 2012;485(7400):635-641.
  23. Bai Y, Lindhout P. Domestication and breeding of tomatoes: what have we gained and what can we gain in the future?. *Annals of botany*. 2007;100(5): 1085-1094.
  24. Aflitos S, Schijlen E, de Jong H, de Ridder D, Smit S, Finkers R, Peters S. Exploring genetic variation in the tomato (*Solanum section Lycopersicon*) clade by whole-genome sequencing. *The Plant Journal*. 2014;80(1):136-148.
  25. Dry IB, Feechan A, Anderson C, Jermakow AM, Bouquet A, Adam-Blondon AF, Thomas MR. Molecular strategies to enhance the genetic resistance of grapevines to powdery mildew. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*. 2010;16(1): 94-105.
  26. Myles S, Boyko AR, Owens CL, Brown PJ, Grassi F, Aradhya MK, Buckler ES. Genetic structure and domestication history of the grape. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2011; 108(9):3530-3535.
  27. Cadle-Davidson L, Gadoury D, Fresnedo-Ramírez J, Yang S, Barba P, Sun Q, Reisch B. Lessons from a phenotyping center revealed by the genome-guided mapping of powdery mildew resistance loci. *Phytopathology*. 2019;109(7):1107-1117.
  28. Hickey JM, Chiurugwi T, Mackay I, Powell W, Implementing Genomic Selection in CGIAR Breeding Programs Workshop Participants. Genomic prediction unifies animal and plant breeding programs to form platforms for biological discovery. *Nature genetics*. 2017;49(9), 1297-1303.
  29. Varshney RK, Bohra A, Yu J, Graner A, Zhang Q, Sorrells ME. Designing future crops: genomics-assisted breeding comes of age. *Trends in Plant Science*. 2021; 26(6):631-649.
  30. Tester M, Langridge P. Breeding technologies to increase crop production in a changing world. *Science*. 2010; 327(5967):818-822.
  31. Stephens ZD, Lee SY, Faghri F, Campbell RH, Zhai C, Efron MJ, Robinson GE. Big data: Astronomical or genomics?. *PLoS biology*. 2015;13(7):e1002195.
  32. Schwarze K, Buchanan J, Fermont JM, Dreau H, Tilley MW, Taylor JM, Wordsworth S. The complete costs of genome sequencing: A microcosting study in cancer and rare diseases from a single center in the United Kingdom. *Genetics in Medicine*. 2020;22(1):85-94.
  33. Lassoued R, Macall DM, Smyth SJ, Phillips PW, Hessel H. Risk and safety considerations of genome edited crops:

- Expert opinion. Current Research in Biotechnology. 2019;1:11-21.
34. Varshney RK, Bohra A, Yu J, Graner A, Zhang Q, Sorrells ME. Designing future crops: genomics-assisted breeding comes of age. Trends in Plant Science. 2021; 26(6):631-649.
  35. Rasheed A, Hao Y, Xia X, Khan A, Xu Y, Varshney RK, He Z. Crop breeding chips and genotyping platforms: progress, challenges, and perspectives. Molecular Plant. 2017;10(8):1047-1064.
  36. Jiang GL. Molecular markers and marker-assisted breeding in plants. Plant breeding from laboratories to fields. 2013;45-83.
  37. Crossa J, Pérez-Rodríguez P, Cuevas J, Montesinos-López O, Jarquín D, de los Campos G, Varshney RK. Genomic selection in plant breeding: methods, models, and perspectives. Trends in Plant Science. 2017;22(11):961-975.
  38. Hickey JM, Chiurugwi T, Mackay I, Powell W. Implementing Genomic Selection in CGIAR Breeding Programs Workshop Participants. Genomic prediction unifies animal and plant breeding programs to form platforms for biological discovery. Nature genetics. 2017;49(9):1297-1303.
  39. Mammadov J, Aggarwal R, Buyyarapu R, Kumpatla S. SNP markers and their impact on plant breeding. International journal of plant genomics, 2012.
  40. Pereira A. Plant abiotic stress challenges from the changing environment. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2016;7:1123.
  41. Zhang Y, Massel K, Godwin ID, Gao C. Applications and potential of genome editing in crop improvement. Genome Biology. 2018;19(1):1-11.
  42. Jamil IN, Remali J, Azizan KA, Nor Muhammad NA, Arita M, Goh HH, Aizat WM. Systematic multi-omics integration (MOI) approach in plant systems biology. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2020;11:944.
  43. Jiang L, Fan X, Zheng Y, Zuo J. Plant systems biology: Insights, advances and challenges. Molecular Plant. 2019; 12(9):1382-1396.
  44. Bohra A, Chand Jha U, Godwin ID, Varshney RK. Genomics and AI-driven crop improvement: Opportunities and challenges. Trends in Plant Science. 2022; 27(5):494-506.
  45. Harper L, Campbell J, Cannon EK, Jung S, Poelchau M, Walls R, Sen TZ. AgBioData consortium recommendations for sustainable genomics and genetics databases for agriculture. Database; 2018.
  46. Zhao Y, Ma J, Li M, Deng L, Li G, Xia H, Wang F. Whole-genome sequence of the progenitor of wheat A subgenome Triticum urartu. Nature communications. 2019; 10(1):1-12.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120483>