

Journal of Experimental Agriculture International

Volume 46, Issue 9, Page 619-623, 2024; Article no.JEAI.121730 ISSN: 2457-0591 (Past name: American Journal of Experimental Agriculture, Past ISSN: 2231-0606)

# Effect of Organic Sources of Nutrients and Bio Inoculants on Diversity of Microbes in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)

# Madhu Parajapati<sup>a\*</sup> and Pragya Sourabh<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Mansoravar Global University, Sehore (M.P.), India.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i92860

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121730

**Original Research Article** 

Received: 07/06/2024 Accepted: 12/08/2024 Published: 05/09/2024

# ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during two consecutive seasons of 2022-23 and 2023-2024 at Research Farm, Department of environmental science, college of Sehore, Mansarovar Global university Sehore (MP). The experiment was laid out in a split plot design with sixteen treatment combinations and replicated thrice. The study on the effect of organic sources of nutrient and bio-inoculants on diversity of microbe under chickpea. It is clear from the data that the highest microbial cells count like *Rhizobium* (16.43 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g soil) (cfu- colony forming unit), PSB (Phosphate solubilizing bacteria) (19.03 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g soil) was recorded under application of vermicompost @ 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> followed by FYM (farm yard manure) @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> along with *Rhizobium* and PSB (12.25 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g soil) and (17.72 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g soil), respectively. Whereas, highest fungi and actinomycetes count were observed under FYM @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in combination with bio-inoculants and recorded 41.30

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: madhu1980.prajapati@gmail.com;

*Cite as:* Parajapati, Madhu, and Pragya Sourabh. 2024. "Effect of Organic Sources of Nutrients and Bio Inoculants on Diversity of Microbes in Chickpea (Cicer Arietinum L.)". Journal of Experimental Agriculture International 46 (9):619-23. https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i92860.

x  $10^4$  cfu/g and  $12.92 \times 10^3$  cfu/g soil, respectively followed by vermicompost @ 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with same inoculants. Whereas the lowest population of fungi was recorded under control along with combined application of bio-fertilizers (29.23 x  $10^4$  cfu/g and 7.25 x  $10^3$  cfu/g soil).

Keywords: Rhizobium; PSB; actinomycetes; fungi; FYM; vermicompost; NPK.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) is the third largest produced food legume, having wider adaptability under varied agro-climatic conditions. Pulses play an important role in nutritional security of ever burgeoning population of India. In. India. per capita availability of pulses is low (41.9 a day 1) as per the recommendation of World Health Organization (80 g capita<sup>-1</sup>) [1]. The pulses are the major source of protein in Indian diet and the demand for pulses continues to grow at 2.8% per annum [2]. This huge gap between demand and supply of pulses may be overcome by increasing the productivity of pulses. Chickpea plays a significant role in improving soil fertility by fixing atmospheric nitrogen. The phosphorus requirement of legume crops is generally higher due to higher energy consumption during the process of symbiotic nitrogen fixation [3]. Hence, the phosphorus requirement is higher for healthy crop growth with efficient root system and profuse nodulation. Phosphorus is essential for pod filling and also to increase the grain yield. The phosphate fertilizers are very costly and their utilization efficiency is very low particularly in rain-fed areas. Use of bio fertilizers like phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB), symbiotic N-fixing bacteria and Carbuncular mycorrhiza (AM) fungi are needed for enhancing plant growth. These bio fertilizers are used in leguminous crops due to higher cost and hazardous effects of chemical fertilizers [4 and 5]. PSB solubilizes the insoluble forms of phosphates like tricalcium, iron and aluminum phosphates into available forms by exerting organic acids, production of chelating substances, mineral acids, siderophores and proton extrusion mechanism [6]. The efficiency of these bio agents may be increased up to the extent of 50% with the use of organic substances. The organics enhances the microbial activities and maintenance of soil aggregate structure [7], building up a macro pore structure of soil that allows for easier penetration of water and air as well as prevents erosion. Keeping in view the above facts and need to increase the productivity of chickpea, attempt has been made to study the Effect of organic sources of nutrients and bio inoculants on

diversity of microbes under chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.)

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

field experiment was conducted two Α consecutive seasons of 2022-23 and 2023-2024 at Research Farm. Department of Environmental Science, College of Schore, Mansarovar Global university Sehore, (MP). The soil of experiment field was medium black which is neutral in reaction (7.20) pH, normal in EC (electrical conductivity) (0.37), medium in organic carbon (0.58%), available nitrogen (282.5 Kg/ha), phosphorus (13.8 Kg/ha) and medium in potassium (277.4 Kg/ha). Sixteen treatment combinations consisted of four organic sources of nutrient (Control, Vermicompost @ 2 t/ ha, FYM @ 5 t/ha and NPK 100% RDF) put under main plot and four bio fertilizers (Control, Rhizobium @ 10 g/kg seed, PSB @10 g/kg seed and Rhizobium + PSB @ 5g each /kg seed) allotted under sub plots. Samples of rhizospheric soil were used as fresh without grinding, sieving or any modifications. The collected sample were collected and kept in low density polyethene bags and stored in refrigerator at 4°C. Population of microbes were determined by following YEMA-Yeast extract mannitol medium (Rhizobium), Pikpvskayas (PSB) and Casenak agar medium [8]. Number of microbial cells were computed by following formula.

Value cells (cfu/g soil) =

 $\frac{\text{Number of colonies}}{1 \text{ g of soil}} X \text{ Dilution factor}$ 

# 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# 3.1 Microbial Counts under Different Sources of Nutrients

Study on change in counts of microbe under different treatments recorded after two year of experimentation (Table 1). Data reveal that the counts of *Rhizobium* and PSB cells significantly increased over initial value of  $6.85 \times 10^6$  and  $5.30 \times 10^6$  cfu/g soil, respectively. The organic source consisted of vermicomposting and FYM

both were found significantly superior over control and 100% dose of NPK. Further it was observed that the counts of Rhizobium and PSB under control (9.06 and 12.8 X 10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g soil) and 100 % RD of NPK (8.59 and 13.40 X 106 cfu/q soil) were significantly lower to vermicomposting as well as FYM. However, the overall counts of Rhizobium and PSB under control were statistically at par to 100% NPK but higher over initial value. These finding are in accordance with the observations recorded by Barik et al. [9] and Gulaiva et. al. [10]. who reported that the inoculation of rhizobium and PSB bring out significant changes in counts of rhizobium and PSB cells in soil after even of crops. The counts of Rhizobia harvest increased under both the conditions of single inoculation as well as combined inoculation of rhizobium and PSB both either with the

application of vermicompost (22.80 and 24.27 X 10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g soil) or FYM (15.33 and 17.20 X 10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g soil) respectably, for single and combined inoculation. Moreover, microbial counts were significantly higher under combined inoculation of Rhizobium and PSB together. Similar result was observed in case of PSB counts and recorded 25.77 and 30.69 X 10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g soil under signal PSB and combined inoculation of PSB and Rhizobium, respectably. However, the counts of PSB recorded markedly higher (22.81 X 10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g soil) under FYM over control and 100% RD of NPK. The counts of PSB were (22.81 X 10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g soil) for single and combined inoculation (29.10 X 10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g soil). The variations between vermicomposting and FYM These not significant for PSB. were results are corroborated with the findings of Kiran et al. [11].

 Table 1. Effect of Different organic sources of nutrient and bo inoculants on *Rhizobium* counts (10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g soil) in post-harvest soils

Bio inoculants as seed inoculation	Control	Rhizobium	PSB	Rhi+PSB	Mean
Sources of nutrient	_				
Control	7.15	11.12	4.82	13.15	9.06
Vermicompost @ 2 t ha-1	8.00	22.80	10.65	24.27	16.43
FYM @ 5 t ha-1	7.83	15.33	8.67	17.20	12.25
100 % RD NPK	6.85	10.17	4.56	12.80	8.59
Mean	7.45	14.85	7.17	16.85	
		SEm±	CD at (0.05)		)
Nutrient sources S		0.39		0.98	
Bio inoculants B		0.45		1.03	
Factor B at same level of S		0.74		1.88	
Factor S at same level of B		0.88		2.05	

Initial counts 6.85 X 106 cfu/g soil

# Table 2. Effect of different organic sources of nutrient and bio inoculants on PSB counts (10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g soil) in post-harvest soils

Bio inoculants as seed inoculation	Control	Rhizobium	PSB	Rhi+PSB	Mean
Sources of nutrient	_				
Control	6.67	7.80	16.17	20.61	12.81
Vermicompost @ 2 t ha-1	9.14	10.55	25.77	30.69	19.03
FYM @ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	8.85	10.15	22.81	29.10	17.72
100 %RD NPK	7.80	8.70	18.55	18.56	13.40
Mean	7.99	9.30	20.82	24.24	
		SEm±		CD at (0.05	)
Nutrient sources S		0.91		2.79	
Bio inoculants B		0.98		2.96	
Factor B at same level of S		0.88		2.81	
Factor S at same level of B		0.93		2.95	

Initial counts 5.30 X 106 cfu/g soil

Treatments	Fungal Counts	Actinomycetes Counts 5.65		
Initial value	26.25			
Organic sources				
Control	29.23	7.25		
Vermicompost @ 2 t ha-1	35.46	9.97		
FYM @ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	41.30	12.92		
100 RD NPK	32.18	9.45		
SEm±	1.45	1.94		
CD (0.05)	3.92	NS		
Bio Inoculants				
Control	30.71	7.05		
Rhizobium	35.11	9.25		
PSB	37.92	12.34		
Rhizobium+ PSB	30.73	9.19		
SEm±	1.29	2.02		
CD (0.05)	3.02	NS		

Table 3. Effect organic sources of nutrient and bio inoculants on counts of fungi (10 <sup>4</sup> cfu/g
soil) and actinomycetes (10 <sup>3</sup> cfu/g soil) in post-harvest soils

The population of total fungi and actinomycetes recorded after two years of study assessed in the post-harvest soil presented in Table 3. Data showed the total fungal counts and counts of initial values of 26.25 X 10<sup>4</sup> and 5.65 X 10<sup>3</sup> cfu/g soil. However, variation between treatment for were not significant. actinomvcetes The population of fungi changed with the sources of nutrient and bioinoculants both. The significantly higher population of fungi were observed under FYM (41.30 X 10<sup>4</sup> cfu/g soil) over remaining all the sources. Moreover, the fungal population was significantly higher under vermicomposting (35.46 X 10<sup>4</sup> cfu/g soil) and stood significantly superior over control and 100 % RD of NPK. The counts of fungi were significantly higher under inoculation of *Rhizobium* (35.11 X 10<sup>4</sup> cfu/g soil) and PSB (37.92 X 10<sup>4</sup> cfu/g soil) but the variations between control and 100% RD Of NPK were not significant. The variation between sources of nutrient and inoculation were found to These finding be non-significant. are accordance with the finding Das and Verma [12].

# 4. CONCLUSION

Based on forgoing discussions, it was concluded that addition of vermicomposting and FYM @ 2 and 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively, showed the favorable impact on proliferation of *Rhizobium* as well as PSB cell, FYM and vermicomposting also showed the favorable effect on fungal and actinomycetes count as observed under postharvest soil. The cells of fungi proliferate at faster rate in FYM. Moreover, the combined application of Rhizobium and PSB proved to be the best for enhancing the microbial diversity of rhizosphere soil under the chickpea ecosystem.

# DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# REFERENCES

- Anonymous. Per capita availability of pulses in India; 2013. Available:www.financialexpress.com
- Chauhan JS, Singh BB, Gupta S. Enhancing pulses production in India through improving seed and variety replacement rates. Indian Journal of Genetics. 2016;76:1–10.
- Schulze J, Temple G, Temple SJ, Beschow H, Vance CP. Nitrogen fixation by white lupin under phosphorus deficiency. Annals of Botany. 2006; 98(4):731–40.
- 4. Mukherjee PK, Rai RK. Effect of vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizae and phosphate

solubilizing bacteria on growth, yield and phosphorus uptake by wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2000;45:602– 607.

- Thiyagarajan TM, Backiyavathy MR, Savithri P. Nutrient management for pulses: A review. Agricultural Review. 2003;24:40–8.
- Prajapati BJ, Gudadhe N, Gamit VR, Chhaganiya HJ. Effect of integrated phosphorus management on growth, yield attributes and yield of chickpea. Farming and Management. 2017;2(1):36– 40.
- Carneiro MAC, Ferreira DA, Souza EDD, Paulino HB, Junior OJS, Siqueira JO. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in soil aggregates from fields of "murundus" converted to agriculture. Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira. 2015;50(4):313– 21.
- 8. Rao NS. Bio fertilizers in Agriculture. Oxford and IBH, Publishing Company Private Limited, New Delhi; 1988.

- 9. Barik AK, Das Arindam, Giri AK, Chattopadhyaya GN. Effect of integrated plant nutrient management on growth, yield and production economics of wet season rice. Indian Journal of Agriculture Science. 2006;76:657-660. 4.
- Gulaiya S, Agrawal SB, Kochale Priya, Verma Badal, Patel KK, Sharma A, Singh Vivek, Kumar A, Singh SK. Effect of various nutrients sources and biofertilizers on soil microbial diversity under late sown chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.), The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2023;12(8): 1014-1017.
- 11. Kiran, Satyanarayana R, Ramesh, Kumar C. Effect of nutrient management practices through organics on growth, yield & economics of chickpea under rainfed condition. Green Farming. 2016;7:880 -883.
- 12. Das SK, Varma A. Role of enzymes in maintaining soil health. In: Shukla G, Varma A. (Eds.) Soil Enzymology, Soil Biology 22, Springer -Verlag Berlin Heidelberg USA; 2011.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121730