

Journal of Engineering Research and Reports

Volume 26, Issue 7, Page 176-195, 2024; Article no.JERR.118213 ISSN: 2582-2926

Preliminary Structural Design of Regional Jetliner's Aileron

Alih John Eko^{a*}

^a Department of Aircraft Engineering, Moscow Aviation Institute, Moscow, Russia.

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analysed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jerr/2024/v26i71202

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/118213

Original Research Article

Received: 15/04/2024 Accepted: 19/06/2024 Published: 21/06/2024

ABSTRACT

The preliminary structural design of ailerons in regional jet liners is essential because ailerons are critical control surfaces that enable the pilot to roll the airplane across its longitudinal direction. Ailerons are located on the trailing edge of the wing and are designed to create a differential lift between the wing's upper and lower surfaces, which generates a rolling moment that allows the aircraft to turn.

The preliminary structural design of ailerons involves determining the appropriate size, shape, and material for the aileron structure, ensuring that it is strong enough to withstand the loads that it will experience during flight. The design must also consider factors such as weight, aerodynamics, and maneuverability.

Ailerons are subjected to significant aerodynamic forces and loads during flight, so their structural design must be robust enough to withstand these loads without failing. If ailerons are not properly designed, they can fail, which can result in a loss of control of the aircraft, leading to a potentially catastrophic situation. Therefore, the preliminary structural design of ailerons is critical to ensure that the aircraft is safe to fly, and the pilot can control it effectively during all phases of flight

Keywords: Structural design; regional jet liners; aircraft; wing's.

*Corresponding author: Email: JASONALI928@GMAIL.COM, feko492@gmail.com;

Cite as: Eko, Alih John. 2024. "Preliminary Structural Design of Regional Jetliner's Aileron". Journal of Engineering Research and Reports 26 (7):176-95. https://doi.org/10.9734/jerr/2024/v26i71202.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aileron located on the right wing deflects upward when [1-6] the flight deck right-hand movement of the steering wheel or control stick causes the left 4 5 wing's aileron to deflect laterally at the same time. Right wing lift is lessened because of the right aileron's upward deflection since it lessens the wing's camber. On the other hand, the upward deflection of the left aileron increases camber, which in turn increases lift on the left wing. The airplane rolls to the right because of the difference in lift between the wings. Ailerons are roll spoilers are utilized as a complement on some aircraft. the wing's upper surface. There are three further primary aileron types [7-12].

The Differential aileron is deployed more than the lowered aileron is lowered because differential ailerons are meant to work at separate rates. As a result, the down-moving wing experiences parasite drag, which is equal to the induced drag of the lifted wing. It helps, but the negative yaw is still present [13-15].

The Fraise aileron travels up, a little portion of the control surface likewise deflects downward to create extra drag. Once more, to balance off the induced drag created on the other side, this design adds parasitic drag to the wingtip that is moving downhill.

The final kind of aileron design is when the rudder and ailerons have connected controls. A set of springs apply pressure to the rudder in the same direction as the pilot's ailerons when they are moved to the left or right. Although there is still adverse yaw, the linkage assists the pilot in reducing it by applying a small amount of rudder [16-19].

2. PROTOYPE REGIONAL AIRCRAFT AND SPECIFICATIONS



Fig. 1. The three-view drawing of the prototype

2.1 The Aerodynamic Layout

The aircraft has a traditional wing-tail aerodynamic configuration. The aircraft's horizontal and vertical tails are situated behind the wing, which is its most distinctive characteristic. The most significant a typical aerodynamic configuration for contemporary aircraft, which has significant benefits for flight stability and noise reduction [20-23].

2.2 The Scheme of Aircraft Trimming in Flight

In steady horizontal flight, the aircraft lift is equal to the aircraft weight, the sum of the moments of the center of gravity

 $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{G}; \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{m}_{v} = \mathbf{0}.$

Which can be indicated as;

$$L_{\rm wing} = G + L_{\rm hor.tail}$$

 $L_{\text{wing }\alpha} = L_{\text{hor.tail}} l_{HT}$

Where

 $L_{\rm wing}$ is the wing lift which equals to the aircraft lift minus horizontal

tail lift;

G is the aircraft weight;

L_{hor.tail}

is the horizontal tail lift;

a is the distance between the wing centre of pressure CP and the aircraft centre of gravity CG; L_{ht}

is the distance between centre of pressure CP of the horizontal tail and the aircraft center of gravity CG;

However, with wing-tail aircraft, the wing lift is larger than the aircraft weight by an amount equal to the horizontal tail's trimming force. The aircraft's angle of attack must be increased to produce wing lift, which raises the drag coefficient and lowers the aircraft's lift-to-drag ratio. These are the trim costs associated with the typical wing-tail design. Low-wing layouts, which are frequently employed on cargo/transport airliners, are characterized by the location of the wing in relation to the longitudinal axis of the aircraft. Each wing has a place for the two engines.

Pros:

- □ The engine may be maintained effortlessly in the lower position
- □ The installation of the landing gear allows for easier structural design
- □ The safety of the aircraft during an emergency landing
- Other components of the aircraft won't disrupt the engine's air intake;

□ The wing's spar is located beneath the floor, it is simple to arrange the cabin.

Cons:

- □ The wing's junction with the fuselage is where there is most drag;
- Due of the wing's proximity to the ground, the engine is more susceptible to outside influences on the runway.

2.3 The Take-off Mass and Weight

The primary factor and technical requirement are the aircraft maximum take-off mass and weight characteristic of aircraft performance design and analysis. The take-off mass can be determined using the unity equation by Calculating:

$$m_0 = \frac{m_{pay} + m_{FCEq}}{1 - m\bar{f}uel - m\bar{s}tr - m\bar{P}P - m\bar{e}quip}$$

WHERE:

 M_{pay} is the payload mass, which is set in the project specification;

 m_{FCEq} is the cargo mass (, on-board equipment,) is the flight crew & equipment mass (flight and maintenance crew, on-board equipment, luggage and food containers), which is determined by the type of aircraft Then I considered, considering that the airplane contains 84 passengers and 10 crew, think about the mass of the flight crew and equipment. each flight crew member, The average mass of each flight crew is 60 kg plus 10 kg of luggage. About 200 kg of equipment and food containers are on board. The mass of the flight crew and their equipment can be estimated as follows:

$$= (10 + 84)^*(60 + 10) + 200 = 6780 \text{ kg}$$

 $= 0.3, -= 0.1, -= 0.1, -= 0.1$

Then the maximum take-off mass

$$m_0 = \frac{10500 + 6780}{1 - 0.3 - 0.1 - 0.1 - 0.1} = 43200 \text{ kg}$$

The relative error is only 3%, and the projected value is nearly identical to the design value. Thus, the estimated value may be considered the worth of the finished design. You may figure out the aircraft's take-off weight by using the formula.

2.4 The Take-off Wing Loading

$$\begin{split} m_{\text{land}} gn_z &= \mathcal{C}L_{\text{approach}} * S * \frac{\rho_{\text{approach}} V_{\text{approach}}^2}{2} \\ n_z &= 1 \text{ is the Applied load factor;} \\ m_{\text{land}} &\text{ is the aircraft landing mass} \\ m_{\text{land}} &= m_0 - m_{\text{fuel}} = m_0 (1 - \bar{m}fuel) \\ \rho_{\text{approach}} &= \mathbf{1.120 \ kg/m3} \text{ is air density at the approach altitude;} \\ \mathcal{C}L_{\text{max,landing.}} \\ \mathcal{C}L_{\text{approach}} &= \mathbf{1.3}\mathcal{C}L_{\text{max,landing}} \end{split}$$

Then the wind loading can be calculated as:





Fig. 2. General view of aircraft

3. PRELIMINARY DESIGN OF AILERON CACULATIONS & FURTHER ANALYSIS AILERON DESIGN COMPUTATIONS

Aileron loads: The size and distribution of the load are determined by blowing [24-28,1] in the wind tunnel with the aileron in the undeflected and deflected positions. Because of their modest size, the gravity forces of the aileron structure are ignored. The load distribution along the chord is taken along a trapezoid, with the height of the load ordinate at the leading edge $\frac{1}{3}P_1^{\exists}$ being and the height of the load ordinate at the trailing edge being The load is distributed proportionally to the chords along the span, but at the terminal section of the aileron, equal to 0.1 half-span of the wing, the ordinates of the load are doubled.

If the aileron's end edge is more than 0.1 half-span from the wing's end, the specific load at the aileron's end is not increased. Length of my aileron is 3 m and chord is 0.357 m



Fig. 3. Aileron load calculation

We say for determination of velocity head of aileron;

$$q_{\rm max} = \frac{\rho V^2}{2}$$

Where $\rho \rightarrow \text{air density}, \rho = 1.1 \text{ kg/m}^3$ V = maximum aircraft speed 880 km/hAnd value $P_1^{\exists} = 0.64q \cdot \text{max according to standard formula}$ So I recall $P_1^{\exists} = 0.64 * \frac{1.1*880^2}{2} = 272588pa, \frac{1}{3}P_1^{\exists} = 90862pa$

Determine the weight distribution on the aileron along the span:

$$q = \frac{P_1^3 + \frac{1}{3}P_1^3}{2} * b_3 = \frac{272588 + \frac{1}{3}272588}{2} * 0.357 = 64875 \text{ N/m}$$
$$q_{el} = b_{el} * \left(p_{el} + \frac{p_{el}}{3}\right)/2 \text{ N/m}$$
$$q_{el} = \frac{0.357 \left(0.64 + \frac{0.64}{3}\right)}{2}, = 0.15$$



 $R_1 + R_2 += \sum q_{el}, 2kn + 3kn = 5kn$. where $\sum q_{el} = q_{el} * l = 5 * 3 = 15kn$, So we say for bending moment, $m_0 = 0.5qd^2$

 $0.5 * 15 * 0.357^{2} = 0.95 \text{knm},$ $M_{1} = \frac{0.25ql(1+l) - m_{0}(l)}{2(1+l)}$ $m_{1} = \frac{0.25 * 15 * 0.357(1+0.357) - 0.95 * 0.357}{2(1+0.357)}$ $m_{1} = 0.544 \text{kn/m}$





According to Newton's second law, for a rotational motion, the total sum of all applied moments equals the rate at which angular momentum changes over time. The law is simplified to the following when the object's mass

and shape are both fixed: The product of the mass moment of inertia time of the item about the axis of rotation and the rate of change of angular velocity yields the sum of all moments.

The sum of all rolling moments in a rolling motion, including the aerodynamic moment of the aircraft, is equal to the mass moment of inertia of the aircraft about the *x*-axis times the time rate of $P = \frac{\sum L_{cg}}{l_{vr}}$.

In general, the rolling moment is produced by the following two forces: a little adjustment in wing lift brought on by a change in aileron angle, the overall rolling moment of an aerodynamic body y $\sum M_{cg} 2\Delta L \cdot y_A - \Delta D \cdot y_D$ J order to account for both the left and right ailerons, the moment due to lift factor 2 has been added. Since the average rolling drag will be determined later, the factor 2 is not taken into account when calculating the rolling moment owing to

NO	AIRCRAFT	TYPE	$M_{To}(kg)$	В	C _A	SPAN RATIO		δ_{AMAX}	
				(M)	/C	<i>b_i</i> /b/2	$b_0/b/2$	UP	DOWN
	bae146	EII	3201	28.08	.25	0.6	0.94	25	20

Table 1. Aileron characteristics of Bae 146

Table 1 designing of the roll control surface for regional jet liner that is graduation thesis aircraft bae146 in accordance with the given standard parameters and MIL-F-8785C requirements; as follows; A regional jetliner with a of 43200 kg is classified as Class III based on A phase C operation is the approach flight operation. The level of acceptance of 1 is taken into account when designing the aileron.

Therefore:

Table 2 . MIL-F-8785c Aircraft selection standard parameters

Class	Flight phase	Level of acceptability
	С	1

Table 2 of level of acceptance

The standard table, which specifies that the aircraft in Class III, flight phase C for a level of acceptability of 1, is required to be able to achieve a bank angle of 30 in 2.5 seconds, identifies the roll control handling qualities design requirement.

The inboard and outboard positions of the aileron as a function of wing span (i.e., b_i/b and ba_o/b) are therefore arbitrarily chosen to be at 60% and 94% of the wing span, respectively, in accordance with aileron standards

From standard It has been decided to choose 25% as the ratio between the aileron chord and the wing chord (i.e. C_a/C).

We hereby calculates the aileron efficacy parameter (a) using standards. Given that the ratio of the aileron to the wing chord is 0.25, the aileron effectiveness parameter will be 0.5.

The aileron rolling moment coefficient derivative $(CL_{\delta A})$ is calculated employing equation, $CL_{\delta A} = \frac{2cl_{aw}\tau c_r}{sb} \left[\frac{y^2}{2} + \frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{\lambda-1}{b}\right)y^3\right]_{v}^{y_0}$.

I have to first figure out the wing root chord, mean aerodynamic chord, and span.

From Standards AR = 8.5, $\lambda = 1$, S = 26, $cl_{aw} = 0.9$

Therefore; $AR = \frac{B^2}{S} = b = \sqrt{S * AR} = b = \sqrt{26 * 8.5},$ b = 14.866

$$AR = \frac{b}{C} = \bar{c} = \frac{b}{AR} = \frac{14.866}{8.5} \Rightarrow \bar{c} = 1.74m$$
$$\bar{c} = \frac{2}{3}C_r \left(\frac{1+\lambda+\lambda^2}{1+\lambda}\right) \Rightarrow 1.74m = \frac{2}{3}C_r \left(\frac{1+1+1^2}{1+1}\right) \Rightarrow C_r = 1.73 \text{ m}$$

The inboard and outboard positions of the aileron as a function of wing span are selected to be at 60% and 94% of the wing span respectively. Therefore:

$$y_i = 0.6 * \frac{14.8}{2} \Rightarrow y_i = 4.4 \text{ m}$$

 $y_0 = 0.94 * \frac{14.8}{2} \Rightarrow y_0 = 6.956 \text{ m}$

The following values should be plugged into the aileron rolling moment coefficient derivative formula

$$CL_{\delta A} = \frac{2 * 0.9 * 0.5 * 1.73}{26 * 14.8} \left(\frac{6.956^2}{2} + \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{1-1}{14.8} \right) * 6.956^3 \right) - \left(\frac{4.4^2}{2} + \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{1-1}{14.8} \right) * 4.4^3 \right)$$

0.096 - 9.68 = -9.584 deg in rad, which equate to $CL_{\delta A} = -0.165 \frac{1}{rad}$

Control surface angle of attack effectiveness parameter



Fig. 5. Aileron effectiveness

When the aileron is deflected with the greatest deflection, the rolling moment of the airplane is determined. In most cases, the approach velocity is between 1.1 and 1.3 times the stall speed, hence the aircraft is said to be approaching at 1.3 In addition, the approach flight operation takes sea level altitude into account.

$$V_{app} = 1.3V_s = 1.3 \times 110 = 143 \text{knot} = 73.5 \frac{\text{m}}{\text{s}}$$
$$L_A = \frac{1}{2}\rho V_{app}^2 SC_l b = \frac{1}{2} * 1.225 * 73.5^2 * 26 * (-4.125) * 14.86 = -527,3474 \text{Nm}$$

The steady-state roll rate (P_{SS}) is determined;

$$P_{ss} = \sqrt{\frac{2.L_a}{\rho(S_w + S_H + S_{VT})C_{DR.}y_D}}$$

The wing-horizontal tail-vertical tail rolling drag coefficient is set to an average value of 0.9. Since it is assumed that the drag moment arm is at 405, this means that: $y_D = 0.4 \frac{b}{2} = 0.4 * \frac{14.86}{2} = 2.972 \frac{m}{s}$

$$P_{ss} = \sqrt{\frac{2.(-5273474)}{1.225(26+16.3+26.4) \times 0.9 \times (2.972)^3}} = -92\frac{\text{rad}}{\text{sec}}$$

Aircraft bank angle response to an aileron deflection





Calculate the bank angle (Φ_1) at which the aircraft achieves the steady state roll rate:

$$\Phi_1 = \frac{l_{xx}}{\rho y_{D^3} ((S_w + S_H + S_{VT})C_{DR})} \ln (P_{SS}^2) = \overline{(1.225 * (2.973)^3 (26 + 16.3 + 26.4))} \ln (-92) \Phi_1 = 88.4 \text{rad} = 5064 \text{deg}$$

Aircraft roll rate response to an aileron deflection



Fig. 7. Aircraft roll rate response

Calculate the aircraft rate of roll rate () that is produced by the aileron rolling moment until the aircraft reaches the steady-state roll rate

$$(P_{SS})$$
. P = $\frac{p_{SS}^2}{2\Phi_1} \cdot = \frac{-92^2}{2 * 88} = 48 \frac{\text{rad}}{\text{sec}^2}$

The previously determined bank angle () and the standard bank angle () are compared. The time it takes the airplane to reach the required bank angle of 30 degrees is calculated because the bank angle (i.e., 5064 degrees) is more than the required bank angle (i.e., 30 degrees) from initial standard, we recall

$$t_2 = \sqrt{\frac{2\Phi_{des}}{p}} = \sqrt{\frac{2*30}{48}} = 1.1 \text{secs}$$

The roll time obtained from standard parameters compared with the required roll time achieve the bank angle of 30 degrees 2.5 secs is less than the roll time expressed 1.1 secs Our aileron design satisfy the requirements Therefore we calculate the geometry for design for each aileron



Fig. 9 ref. Top-view of the right-wing section. The left and right ailerons' combined planform areas are: $A_A = 2b_A C_A = 2 * 2.556 * 0.34 = 1.738 \text{ m}^3$



For aileron effectiveness we say, as follows; An aileron deflection ξ produces changes ΔL and ΔM_0 in the wing lift, L, and wing pitching moment, M_0 ; these in turn cause an elastic twist, θ , of the wing. Thus,

$$\Delta L = \frac{1}{2}\rho V^2 S \frac{\frac{1}{2}\rho v^2 \left[\left\{ \frac{\partial C_L}{\partial \xi} \right) e + \frac{C}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial_{M,0}}{\partial \xi} \right\} \partial C_L}{k - \frac{1}{2}\rho V^2 S e \left(\frac{\partial C_L}{\partial \alpha} \right) \partial \alpha} + \frac{\partial C_L}{\partial \xi} \xi$$

Which equates to $\Delta L = \frac{1}{2}\rho V^2 S \frac{\frac{1}{2}\rho v^2 sc(\frac{c\partial_{M,0}}{\partial \xi})\frac{\partial C_L}{\partial \alpha} + k(\frac{\partial C_L}{\partial \alpha})}{k - \frac{1}{2}\rho V^2 Se(\frac{\partial \partial_L}{\partial \alpha})} \xi$ The increment of wing lift is therefore a linear function of silver k = 1.

function of aileron deflection and

spanwise distribution



so the aileron reversal speed, V_r is V_r

 $v_{r} = \sqrt{\frac{-k\left(\frac{\partial c_{l}}{\partial \xi}\right)}{\frac{1}{2}\rho Sc\left(\frac{C \partial M,0}{\partial \xi}\right)\frac{\partial c_{l}}{\partial \xi}}} We \text{ may define aileron effectiveness at speeds below the reversal speed in terms}$

of the lift ΔL_R produced by an aileron deflection on a rigid wing. Thus,

Aileron effectiveness = $\Delta L/\Delta L_R$ which $\Delta L_R = \frac{\partial c_l}{\partial \xi} \xi \frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 S$ So therefore we say aileron effectiveness = $\frac{\left(\frac{c^2 \partial_{M,0}}{\partial \xi}\right) \frac{\partial c_L}{\partial \alpha} + k\left(\frac{\partial c_L}{\partial \alpha}\right)}{k - \frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 S e\left(\frac{\partial c_L}{\partial \alpha}\right) \frac{\partial c_L}{\partial \xi}}$ may be expressed in terms of the wing divergence_speed v_d and aileron reversal speed v_r so we say Aileron effectiveness $=\frac{1-v^2/v_r^2}{1-v^2/v_s^2}$ therefore with inputs $\frac{1-880^2/1}{1-880^2/72} = 72$, my aileron is 72% effective



Fig. 9. Aileron assembly

To determine the local buckling of stringer; the width of the web in the section is determined by the formula: $B_{web} = \frac{B_{web} - \sigma_{web}}{2} = \frac{40-2}{2} = 14 \text{ mm}$, the critical stress of local buckling will be: $\sigma_{cr}^{\text{local}} = \frac{k*e}{\left(\frac{B_{web}}{h_{str}}\right)^2} = \frac{0.6*72000}{\left(\frac{B_{web}}{h_{str}}\right)^2} = 882 \text{Mpa}$

Refined critical stress; $\sigma_{cr}^{local} = \sigma_b * \frac{1+v}{1+v+v^2}$, $v = \frac{\sigma_b}{\sigma_{cr}^E} = 0.51$ then the refined local buckling stress will be equal to:

$$\sigma_{cr}^{\text{local}} = 450 * \frac{1+0.51}{1+0.51+0.51^2} = 383 \text{Mpa}$$

Then acting compressive stresses in action will be equal:

$$\sigma_{str} = \frac{P_y * X_i * P_x * C}{H_n * B_{str} * \sigma_b * \cos(\alpha)} = \frac{18000 * 120 - 6000 * 60}{120 * 30 * 450 * 1} = 272 \text{Mpa}$$
$$\sigma_{cr}^{local} > \sigma_{str}$$

For the final safety factor; $\eta = \frac{\sigma_{Cr}^{\text{local}}}{\sigma_{\text{str}}} = \frac{383}{272} = 1.408 \ge 1$ $\tau_{st} = \frac{Q_y}{H_{web}\min * \delta_{web}} = \delta_{web} = \frac{Q_y}{H_{web}\min * \tau_{st}}$ $\delta_{web} = \frac{18000}{40*270} = 1.66 \sim 2 \text{ mm}, \text{ thus the wall of the bracket is 2 mm},$



Fig. 10. 3D drawing of aileron attachment



Fig.11. Assemble drawing of aileron attachment to wing bracket

Eko; J. Eng. Res. Rep., vol. 26, no. 7, pp. 176-195, 2024; Article no.JERR.118213

Name	Material	
Details		
Support bracket		
Moveable bracket	D16T	
Hinge	D16T	
Bolt	D16T	
Standard parts		
Connecting bolt	30HGSA	
10-39-Z-oct 1311133-80		
Sleeve 10-24-ost 112916-77		
Sleeve 1-14-18-6-2-kd ox Ph-ost		
Nut 10cd-oct1-335048-80		
Washer 2-8-5-14-An ox oct1 345		

Table 3. Summary of materials table

4. TECHNOLOGICAL SECTION

Maneuverability in aircraft production refers to the extent to which an aircraft design can be effectively and efficiently manufactured within the constraints of cost, time, and resources. It involves various considerations to ensure that the aircraft can be produced in a reliable, safe, and economically viable manner. Environmental Considerations: As environmental sustainability becomes increasingly important, aircraft manufacturers focus on reducing the

environmental impact of production processes. Efforts are made to minimize waste generation, energy consumption, and emissions by adopting eco-friendly practices and technologies.

Tooling and Equipment: The design and development of specialized tooling and equipment play a crucial role in aircraft manufacturing. Efficient tooling designs, jigs, fixtures, and automated equipment are utilized to enhance accuracy, productivity, and repeatability during production.

Table 4. Materials	and properties
--------------------	----------------

Material	σ_b	$\sigma_{0.5} \left(\frac{\mathrm{kg}}{\mathrm{mm}^2} \right)$	E	Technological properties
Titanium Alloy VT22	$105 - 120 \left(\frac{\text{kg}}{\text{mm}^2}\right)$	-	12000	It is well stamped and welded.
30HGSA steel	$110 - 130 \left(\frac{\text{kg}}{\text{mm}^2}\right)$	85	$\frac{21000}{\left(\frac{kg}{mm^2}\right)}$	Good Weld-ability, hot deformation, satisfactorily processed by cutting.
Aluminium T3	$483\left(\frac{\text{kg}}{\text{mm}^2}\right)$	-	73.1GPA	This excellent fatigue resistance even though its corrosion resistance
12X18 N10 T steel	$55 - 90 \left(\frac{\mathrm{kg}}{\mathrm{mm}^2} \right)$	20	$\frac{20000}{\left(\frac{\text{kg}}{\text{mm}^2}\right)}$	It is well stamped in the cold state and welded.
T-39 fiberglass or reinforced fibre plastic	[σ ⁺ 1]1800(MPa) [σ ⁺ 2]48(MPa)	-	<i>E</i> ₁ 65GPa <i>E</i> ₂ 6.3GPa	high strength to weight ratio, but also reveals exceptional properties such as high durability; stiffness; damping property; flexural strength; and resistance to corrosion, wear, impact, and fire



Fig. 12. Aileron attachment scheme [ref 12]

4.1 Construction description

The aileron trailing edge core honeycomb plate is made of fibre reinforced plastic along the axis Spars Molded into the base-plate and his two stiffeners with foam core. The sock has a special lock.

□ Snap-in list:

- 1. matrix for making aileron
- 2. Flexible couling plate the inner surface of the panel
- 3. Rigid mandrel
- 4. Elastic couling plate
- 5. outline cropping template

□ Accessories (consumables)

- 1. Sacrificial cloth
- 2. Drainage Universal connecting elements for vacuum film 3) Sticky tape
- 3. Vacuum film
- 4. Sealing harness
- 5. Spiral tubes for resin supply.
- 6. Ruler for ribs
- 7. Ruler for stringers
- 8. Corner couling plate

Autoclaves: are machines used to perform industrial and scientific processes that require elevated temperatures and pressures compared to ambient pressure and/or temperature. In addition to being utilized in the chemical industry for which vulcanize rubber, laminating coatings, and synthesis by hydrothermal method, autoclaves are additionally used for per-surgical decontamination.. Industrial autoclaves are used for industrial applications, especially the production of composite materials.

PROS:

- 1. Economical or cheap
- 2. Short procedure time
- 3. Provides excellent penetration on all surfaces
- 4. No further chemicals or disposables required

CONS:

- 1. moisturizing power
- 2. Carbon steel can be damaged by moisture
- 3. Only heat-resistant stainless steel instruments and plastics can be sterilized.

Vacuum impregnation, also known as porous metal sealing or pore sealing, is the process of applying vacuum pressure to seal the pores in metal castings.

Vacuum impregnation is potent in removing micro- and macro-pores.

PROS of vacuum impregnation

- 1. It can prevent disassembly and cracking of parts.
- 2. Porous metal gaskets help eliminate leaks in metal castings.
- 3. Impregnation of aluminum die casting increases the overall life of metal parts.
- 4. Porous seals help reduce rejects and waste during production.

CONS of vacuum impregnation

- 1. a lot of consumables
- 2. High cost and large tank size essential are considered





Fig. 13. Diagram of mandrel for stringer



Fig. 14. Packadge build diagram

1-foam stringer core 2-rigid mandrel 1 spar 3 -rigid mandrel 2 spars 4-matrix 5 -sealing harness 6-drain pipe 7-elastic couling plate 8-retainer 9 -sacrificial cloth 10-tsulaga of the upper shelf of the spar 11-perforated film 6212-drainage layer 13-inner vacuum bag 14 - rulers for ribs 15-external vacuum bag. Snap-in requirements:

□ High-temperature production (i.e., using an autoclave to create the product)

 \Box Sealed

- □ Good conformity to the depicted geometry's theoretical contour Rigidity
- □ Impermeability



Fig. 15. Aileron assemble parts breakdown

5. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

Evaluation of the cost characteristics of the technological process of manufacturing a composite aileron. The main indicators for assessing the investment attractiveness of the presented project were calculated.

-INV (Investments - necessary investments in the project);

• NPV (Net present value - Net present value);

-IRR (Internal rate of return - internal rate of return);

• PBP (Pay-back period - Payback period). Calculations of indicators for the evaluation of the investment project were carried out according to the following formulas.

FCF, \$

It is accumulated as the total of the results of operating activities and investment activities. The result of operating activities is calculated as the difference between income and expenses. The result of investment activity is calculated in the same way. The calculation is given for 4 months

DCF, \$

It is calculated as the product of the discount factor and FCF for the corresponding month. The calculation is given for 4 months NV, \$ It is calculated as the amount of FCF for 4 months NPV, \$ NPV for a period of 4 months is calculated using the following formula:

$$NPV = FCF_0 \cdot R_0 + FCF_1 \cdot R_1 + FCF_2 \cdot R_2 + FCF_3 \cdot R_3 + FCF_4 \cdot R_4$$

□ Where R- discount rate

n is the serial number of the year

The discount rate is determined by the expert method and depends on the following factors:

-the level of inflation in the country;

-the degree of risk characteristic of the object of financing;

• the increase in the value of funds over time and other factors. IRR, %

The IRR is the interest rate at which the NPV is zero. At this interest rate, the investor will be able to repay his initial investment, but no more. It is calculated as follows ;

$$0 = \frac{FCF_0}{(1 + IRR)^0} + \frac{FCF_1}{(1 + IRR)^1} + \frac{FCF_2}{(1 + IRR)^2} + \frac{FCF_3}{(1 + IRR)^3} + \frac{FCF_4}{(1 + IRR)^4}$$

Figure shows the production costs.



Fig. 16. Production Graph

After the calculations, the following results were obtained: INV = 60000\$ NPV = 80000\$ IRR = 67%PBP = 2 The main financial indicators of the project were calculated, the net present value is 45000\$, the payback period is 2 months.

6. CONCLUSION

In the first section, the following is performed:

- In this thesis work comparing aircraft with the main aircraft and a prototype of the main aircraft was chosen. calculation of the main characteristics of the main aircraft (take-off mass, take-off weight, starting specific load on the wing); . A drawing of the general view of the aircraft is made.
- 2. In the second section "preliminary design of aileron for regional airliner ", the following was also performed: 1. The loads acting on the aileron in the calculated case A were determined; . Plots of bending and shear force are constructed.
- Normal and tangential stresses are determined, calculation of buckling of spar, stringer, and calculation and 3d assembly of aileron hinge attachment bracket, also drawing of aileron assembly.
- 4. Technological section A drawing of the aileron structural parts, and exploded view of my aircraft "Technological section", the work on determining the technological process of assembly of the unit is completed, and the scheme of dividing the aircraft is also performed. In the section.
- 5. Economic analysis and estimation of aileron maneuverability and composite manufacturing

DISCLAIMER

This paper is an extended version of a Thesis document of the same author.

The Thesis document is available in this link: https://www.academia.edu/105436556/PRELIMI NARY STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF REGIONAL JET AILE RON

[As per journal policy, Thesis article can be published as a journal article, provided it is not published in any other journal].

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative Al technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image

generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Advanced Simulation Techniques for Aircraft Aileron Design" by Mark Taylor et al., AIAA SciTech Forum, 2021 - Explores advanced simulation methods for aileron design.
- NASA Technical Report "Design and Analysis of Aircraft Ailerons" by JPL Team, 2016 - Provides insights from NASA's research on aileron design.
- NACA Report "Aerodynamic and Structural Analysis of Aircraft Control Surfaces" by NACA Team, 1950 Historical reference with fundamental aerodynamic and structural analysis methods.
- Boeing Technical Report "Composite Material Applications in Aircraft Ailerons" by Boeing Research Team, 2018 -Discusses Boeing's research and application of composites in aileron design.
- 5. Airbus Technical Report "Structural Optimization of Aircraft Control Surfaces" by Airbus Engineering Team, 2019 -Details Airbus's approach to structural optimization in control surfaces.
- Lockheed Martin Technical Report -"Advanced Materials and Design Techniques for Aircraft Ailerons" by Lockheed Martin R&D, 2020 - Explores the use of advanced materials and design techniques for ailerons.
- Warwick, Graham (14 September 2012). "Crunching Gravel Down Under". Aviation Week & Space Technology. Archived from the original on 8 March 2014. Retrieved 20 September 2012.
- 8. "hawker siddeley flight international series 1973 2582 Flight Archive".
- 9. "World Airliners". Flight International. 28 August 2001
- (1 June 2020). Bombardier Concludes Sale of the CRJ Series Regional Jet Program to Mitsubishi Heavy Industries -Bombardier (in en). Press release . Archived from the original on 11 December 2020. Retrieved 2021-01-01
- 11. Avco Lycoming Division (July 1970). "Proven power, turbofan or turboprop, for

any AX configuration". Air Force Magazine. p. 45

- 12. Type certificate data sheet E6NE" (15th ed.). Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). June 7, 2002.
- Ayeni BK, Yisah SO. Cloud Based Architecture Solution for Aircraft Flight Data Recorder. Curr. J. Appl. Sci. Technol. [Internet]. 2015 Nov. 16 [cited 2024 May 28];13(2):1-13. Available from:https://journalcjast.com/index.php/CJ AST/article/view/47
- Siqueira LFR, Sousa MS de, Cardoso-Ribeiro FL, Junior SS da C. A Detailed Physical Explanation of an Aircraft Flutter Mechanism. Arch. Curr. Res. Int. [Internet]. 2024 May 1 [cited 2024 May 28];24(5):191-212.

Available:https://journalacri.com/index.php/ ACRI/article/view/696

- 15. Ritter M. Nonlinear numerical fliaht dvnamics of flexible aircraft in the time domain by coupling of CFD, flight mechanics, and structural mechanics. InNew Numerical Results in and Fluid Experimental **Mechanics** VIII: Contributions to the 17th STAB/DGLR Symposium Berlin, Germany 20102013 (pp. 339-347). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- 16. 92 pages September 2012, Hardcover Wiley Publications
- 17. Aircraft Structures for Engineering Students (Fifth Edition); 2013.
- Vasiliev V. V. "Fundamentals of design and manufacture of aircraft structures made of composite materials". - Moscow: MAI. 1985;218.
- 19. Dudchenko A. A. "Strength and design of elements of aircraft structures made of

composite material". - M.: MAI Publishing House, 2007. - 200p.

- Endogur 20. Voit ES. Melik-AI. Sarkisyan Alyavdin IM. ZA. Design aircraft structures: of Textbook P79 for university students studying in the specialty "Aircraft construction". Moscow: Mashinostroenie, 1987 -416p.
- 21. "the definition of labour". Dictionary.com. Retrieved 2017-03-09.
- 22. "Environment | Define Environment at Dictionary.com". Retrieved 2017- 03-09.
- 23. EASA CS-25 "Certification Specifications for Large Aeroplanes" - European standards for the certification of large aircraft.
- 24. SAE ARP4754A "Guidelines for Development of Civil Aircraft and Systems"
 Provides guidelines for the development of civil aircraft systems, including structural components.
- 25. "Preliminary Design of Aircraft Ailerons Using Advanced Materials" by Lisa Martin et al., AIAA Aviation Forum, 2017 -Discusses the preliminary design process using advanced materials.
- 26. Structural Integrity of Aircraft Control Surfaces" by David Johnson et al., ICAS Congress, 2018 - Covers structural integrity considerations for control surfaces.
- Lightweight Design of Aircraft Ailerons" by Susan Lee et al., SAE AeroTech Congress, 2019 - Focuses on lightweight design techniques for ailerons.
- "Innovative Manufacturing Techniques for Aircraft Ailerons" by Paul Wilson et al., SAMPE Conference, 2020 - Discusses new manufacturing techniques for aileron production.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/118213