



Effect of Different Liquid Biofertilizers and Varying Fertility Levels on Available N, P₂O₅, K₂O and S Content after Harvesting in Soil

Lalita Verma ^{a++*}, Surendra Singh ^{b#}, Prerna Dogra ^c,
Maya Yadav ^{a++} and Vijay Kumar ^d

^a Department of Agronomy, Rajasthan Agricultural Research Institute, SKNAU, Jobner, Jaipur, India.

^b Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Kotputli, SKNAU, Jobner, Jaipur, India.

^c Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, SKNAU, Jobner, Jaipur, India.

^d Department of Entomology, SKRAU, Bikaner, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i31688

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/97352>

Original Research Article

Received: 01/01/2023

Accepted: 03/03/2023

Published: 04/03/2023

ABSTRACT

The experimental trail was conducted at the farm of Rajasthan Agricultural Research Institute (RARI), Durgapura, Jaipur which is the sub campus of Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner, Jaipur (Raj.). In the research study, observed the effect of different liquid biofertilizers and fertility levels on growth, yield and quality of cluster bean (*cymopsis tetragonoloba*). The test crops were grown on field during July to November *kharif*-2018 and 2019. The experiment was raised in factorial randomized block design (FRBD). The treatments of experiment comprised of two factors

⁺⁺ Ph. D Scholar;

[#] Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: Lalitapaota123@gmail.com;

(1) three treatment of fertility levels: 100 % recommended dose of fertilizers (F₁), 75% RDF (F₂) and 50 % RDF (F₃) and eight treatment of liquid biofertilizer : Control-no biofertilizers (L₀), *Rhizobium* (L₁), PSB-phosphorus solubilizing bacteria (L₂), KMB-potassium solubilizing bacteria (L₃), SSB-sulphur solubilizing bacteria (L₄), *Rhizobium* + PSB (L₅), *Rhizobium* + PSB+ KMB (L₆) and *Rhizobium* + PSB+ KMB + SSB (L₇). Total number of treatments were 24 and replicated three times. The result observed that highest nutrient status found in the combination of 100 % RDF (F₁) with *Rhizobium* + PSB+ KMB + SSB (L₇).

Keywords: Biofertilizers; cluster bean; fertility levels.

1. INTRODUCTION

“Clusterbean [*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* (L.) Taub] or *Guar* is a drought tolerant legume of family Fabaceae (Leguminosae). It is an important cash crop, grown in semi-arid and arid regions of Rajasthan, Haryana and Gujarat during rainy (*Kharif*) season. In Rajasthan, clusterbean is mainly grown in Bikaner, Nagaur, Jalore, Sikar, Jaisalmer, Jaipur, Jhunjhunu and Alwar districts. It is grown for different purposes since ancient time viz., vegetables, green fodder, green manure and for production of grains. It is also cultivated for hay, silage and green manure” [1].

“In sandy soils of semi-arid regions, drought stress and lack of nutrients (mainly nitrogen and phosphorus) are considered as the main production constraints. Therefore, guar is expected to fit very well in this region as an important drought tolerant cash crop and soil-building crop, with respect to available nitrogen through nitrogen fixation, to maintain soil fertility and sustainable productivity” [2].

“A crop nutrient management plan is a tool that can increase the efficiency of all the nutrient. Nitrogen promotes the vegetative growth and increase protein content in the crop. Phosphorus enhances the activity of rhizobia, increase the formation of root nodules, stimulates early root development, helps in fixing more atmospheric nitrogen and aids in grain formation when applied to legumes. It also improves the crop quality and resistance to disease. Potassium also plays a major role in the transport of water and nutrients for whole of the plant in the xylem. In general, sulphur is required for the synthesis of vitamins and promotes nodulation in legumes. Sulphur is known to play an inevitable and imperative role in sulphur containing amino acids i.e. cysteine, cystine and methionine, vitamin and protein synthesis. The need of nitrogen, phosphorus,

potassium and sulphur are determined by the crop. Biofertilizers help in the maintenance or adjustment of plant nutrient supply to an optimum level for sustaining desired crop productivity and soil fertility” [3].

“Biofertilizers are the products containing one or more species of microorganisms which have the capacity to mobilize nutritionally important elements from non- usable to usable form through biological processes such as nitrogen fixation, phosphate solubilization, excretion of plant growth promoting substances or cellulose and lignin biodegradation in soil, compost and other environments. They are totally harmless, pollution free and low-cost renewable agricultural inputs. They play a significant role in improving nutrient availability in plants. They are recognised as a components of integrated plant nutrient supply system” [4].

“Liquid biofertilizers are liquid formulations have the dormant form of desirable micro-organisms and their nutrients together with the substances that encourage formation of resting spores or cysts for longer shelf life and tolerance to unfavourable conditions. The dormant form of reaching the soil, germinate to create the fresh batch of active cells. These cells developed and multiply by utilizing the carbon source in the soil or from root exudates. The merits of liquid biofertilizers over conventional carrier based biofertilizers are: longer shelf life (12- 24 months), high temperature tolerance, nil contamination chances, no loss of properties due to storage at high temperature up to 45° C, higher populations can be maintained more than 10⁹ cells and stored up to 12 to 24 months, easy to handle by the farmers, higher export potential and dosages are ten times less than carrier-based inoculants and quality control protocols are quick and very easy. A lot of work done on carrier-based biofertilizers in the context of organic food production. In view of the advantages of liquid biofertilizers over carrier based formulations, research has now been

started on the production and testing of liquid biofertilizers. Consequently, liquid biofertilizers are specifically formulated solution that contains beneficial micro-organism which improves nutrient uptake by converting it in soluble form, increase soil fertility, produces plant growth promoting substances and plant hormones thereby reduces chemical fertilizer usage up to 20-30%” [5].

“Biofertilizers are applied as grain treatment or applied in the soil. Biofertilizers in liquid formulation are easy to handle and applied @3-5 ml/kg grain just before sowing. Soil applied biofertilizers are mixed in compost or farm yard manure and kept it for overnight and then incorporated in soil just before sowing. Now a days in addition to N, P and K fixing biofertilizer,

liquid bifertilizers for sulphur, zinc and manganese are also available. These liquid biofertilizers are easy to handle and cost effective” [6].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at farm of Rajasthan Agricultural Research Institute (RARI), Durgapura, Jaipur (Raj.). The test crops were raised on field during *kharif*-2018 and *kharif*-2019 respectively. Geographically this place is situated at 75°47 East longitudes, at 26°51 North latitude and at altitude of 390 m above mean sea level in Jaipur district of Rajasthan. According to NARP, this region falls under Agro-climatic zone IIIa (Semi-arid eastern plain zone) of Rajasthan.

Table 1. Physico-chemical properties of experimental field

Properties	Values		Methods of analysis and references
	2018	2019	
A. Mechanical analysis			
(i) Coarse sand (%)	20.3	19.9	International pipette method (Piper, 1950)
(ii) Fine Sand (%)	59.4	59.6	-do-
(iii) Silt (%)	11.4	11.6	-do-
(iv) Clay (%)	8.9	8.9	-do-
(v) Textural class	Loamy sand	Loamy sand	USDA Triangle (Soil survey Staff, 1975)
B. Physical analysis			
(i) Bulk density (Mg/m ³)	1.52	1.50	Core sampler method (Chopra and Kanwar, 1976)
(ii) Particle density(Mg/m ³)	2.65	2.58	-do-
(iii) Field capacity (%)	12.50	12.35	Pressure membrane apparatus (Richards, 1954)
(iv) Permanent wilting point (%)	2.64	2.73	-do-
(v) Porosity (%)	39.2	40.0	Method No. 40, USDA Hand Book No. 60 (Richards, 1954)
C. Chemical analysis			
(i) Organic carbon (%)	0.18	0.21	Rapid titration method (Walkley and Black's, 1947)
(ii) Available N (kg/ha)	141.09	144.22	Alkaline permanganate method (Subbiah and Asija, 1956)
(iii) Available P ₂ O ₅ (kg/ha)	50.01	54.09	Olsen's method (Olsen et al. 1954)
(iv) Available K ₂ O (kg/ha)	127.88	130.84	Flame photometer method (Metson, 1956)
(v) Available S (kg/ha)	20.88	22.09	Terbimetric method (Chesnin and Yien,1950)

Soil samples were taken randomly from 15 cm depth from different spot of experimental field just before the layout of the experiment and a representative composite sample was prepared by mixing all these samples together. The composite soil sample was analysed for various physical and chemical constituents. The results of the mechanical, chemical and physical properties of the soil along with the methods used for determination are presented.

The climate of this place is semi-arid characterized by aridity of the atmosphere and extremity of temperature both in summer (45.5°C) and winter (4°C). The average rainfall of this region is between 500-700 mm per annum which is mostly received during July to September. The sporadic showers in winters are also common.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Fertility Levels

Data (Table 2) indicated that 100% RDF caused positive influence on the available N, P₂O₅, K₂O and S content (kg ha⁻¹) in soil after harvesting during individual years as well as in pooled mean. In the pooled mean, the increased with 100% RDF in available N, P₂O₅, K₂O and S content was 19.05, 18.32, 33.47 and 26.53 percent and 7.65, 6.08, 7.27, 8.39 percent over 50% and 75% RDF levels, respectively. Each level being significantly higher than the preceding level.

3.2 Liquid Biofertilizers

It was apparent from the data (Table 2) that the application of different liquid biofertilizers significantly influenced the N, P₂O₅, K₂O and S content (kg ha⁻¹) in soil after harvest of cluster bean. The highest N, K₂O, P₂O₅ and S content (kg ha⁻¹) (16.78, 7.66, 15.36 and 15.33 percent) was recorded with *Rhizobium* + PSB + KMB + SSB in the pooled mean. The maximum nitrogen content recorded with the application of *Rhizobium* biofertilizer in L₆, L₅ and L₁ which were statistically at par with L₇ and significantly higher over rest of the

treatments. Significant improvement in available N and P status in soil was also reported due to *Rhizobium* inoculation. The treatment L₇ recorded the highest available phosphorus content by the use of phosphorus biofertilizers but it was at par with L₆, L₅ and L₂. By the use of potassium bio-fertilizer the higher available potassium content found in L₆ and L₃ which were statistically at par with L₇ and significantly superior than rest of the treatments. The L₄ was recorded the second highest available sulphur content in soil which was significantly higher than rest of the treatments.

Application of biofertilizers significantly increased N, P, K and S in soil as compared with the control. Beneficial micro-organisms can keep the soil environment rich in all kinds of soil nutrients via nitrogen fixation, phosphate, potassium, sulphur mineralization and solubilisation along with biodegradation of organic matter in the soil, [7] reported similar findings. The increased in available nitrogen with the application of biofertilizers might have been due to *rhizobium* inoculation was probably the result of nitrogen fixation due to symbiotic relationship with legumes [8]. Increased availability of phosphorus due to inoculation with PSB might have some augmenting effect on the native population of *Rhizobium* strain present in the soil which probably increased in symbiotic nitrogen fixation by that native population of the *Rhizobium*. As nitrogen fixing legume plants usually required more phosphorus than plant dependent on mineral nitrogen fertilizer because phosphorus plays very vital role in nodule development and their activity. Inoculation with PSB perhaps increased the solubility of native as well as applied phosphorus with net increased in its content in soil. The increased status of available nutrients in soil with the application of biofertilisers might be due to better utilization of native nutrients with an increase in their availability. It is well established fact that inoculation of *Rhizobium* in legumes plays vital role in nitrogen fixation, whereas, higher availability of phosphate can be expected with the inoculation of PSB. The inoculation of biofertilizers increases available NPKS in the soil at harvest of crop [9].

Table 2. List of treatments use to detect fertility levels on available N, P₂O₅, K₂O and S content

Treatments	Available N (kg/ha)			Available P ₂ O ₅ (kg/ha)			Available K ₂ O (kg/ha)			Available S (kg/ha)		
	2018	2019	Pooled	2018	2019	Pooled	2018	2019	Pooled	2018	2019	Pooled
Fertility levels												
100% RDF	156.79	157.58	157.18	56.96	61.98	59.47	152.07	155.80	153.94	26.61	27.67	27.14
75% RDF	145.45	146.57	146.01	53.78	58.33	56.06	141.74	145.29	143.51	24.63	25.45	25.04
50% RDF	129.63	134.41	132.02	48.16	52.37	50.26	113.11	117.57	115.34	20.95	21.96	21.45
SEm ±	3.45	3.36	2.41	0.31	0.30	0.22	1.50	1.53	1.07	0.25	0.28	0.19
CD (P = 0.05)	9.83	9.57	6.77	0.88	0.86	0.61	4.27	4.36	3.01	0.71	0.79	0.53
Liquid biofertilizers												
Control	132.22	135.55	133.89	51.03	55.55	53.29	128.00	131.44	129.72	22.90	23.79	23.35
Rhizobium	153.01	154.91	153.96	52.00	56.61	54.31	130.83	134.45	132.64	23.02	24.08	23.55
PSB	134.95	137.00	135.98	53.80	58.50	56.15	129.36	133.41	131.39	23.55	24.11	23.83
KMB	133.85	136.79	135.32	51.93	56.00	53.97	141.44	145.50	143.47	23.69	24.29	23.99
SSB	132.91	136.11	134.51	51.55	55.87	53.71	128.96	132.21	130.59	25.25	26.56	25.91
<i>Rhizobium</i> + PSB	154.23	155.97	155.10	54.00	58.97	56.49	133.22	137.79	135.51	23.99	24.68	24.34
<i>Rhizobium</i> + PSB+ KMB	154.91	156.00	155.46	54.50	59.17	56.84	145.55	150.11	147.83	24.00	24.90	24.45
<i>Rhizobium</i> + PSB+ KMB + SSB	155.55	157.17	156.36	54.93	59.81	57.37	147.76	151.51	149.64	26.09	27.77	26.93
SEm ±	5.64	5.49	3.93	0.50	0.49	0.35	2.45	2.50	1.75	0.41	0.45	0.31
CD (P = 0.05)	16.05	15.63	11.05	1.43	1.40	0.99	6.98	7.12	4.92	1.16	1.29	0.86
CV (%)	5.75	5.27	5.51	2.85	2.56	2.70	5.42	5.38	5.40	5.09	5.45	5.28

4. CONCLUSION

The results revealed that the available N, P₂O₅, K₂O and S content after harvesting in soil was found higher in treatment F8L1(100% RDF with Rhizobium+ PSB+ KMB+SSB containing biofertilizers) and at par with F2L7 (75% RDF with Rhizobium+ PSB+ K MB+ SSB containing biofertilizers) which was significantly superior than 100% RDF with out biofertilizers in interaction.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Grestaa F, Orazio S, Carmelo S, Luca I, Cristina F, Galante M. Effects of sowing times on grain yield, protein and galactomannans content of four varieties of guar (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.) in a mediterranean environment. *Industrial Crops and Products*. 2013;41: 46- 52.
2. Mohamed K. Bacteria biofertilizer from sustainable crop production: A Review. *Journal of Agricultural Biology and Science*. 2011;7:307-316.
3. Anonymous. Agricultural statistics at a glance. Government of Rajasthan Agriculture Portal; 2018.
4. Anonymous. Annual Progress Report. All India co-ordinated research project on arid legume. NBPGR, New Delhi; 2020.
5. Manohar CVS, Sharma OP, Verma HP. Nutrient status and yield of clusterbean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba*). as influenced by biofertilizers and fertility levels. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2017;7(5):1840-1843.
6. Kumar A, Singh R, Adholeya A. Biotechnological advancements in industrial production of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Achievements, challenges and future prospects In *Developments. Fungal Biology and Applied Mycology*, Springer. Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany. 2017;413-431.
7. Verma G, Yadav DD. Effect of fertility levels and biofertilizers on the productivity and profitability of chickpea (*Cicer aritinum* L.). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2020;64(1):138-141.
8. Shinde P, Hunje R. Influence of soil application of organic manures and foliar spray of liquid biofertilizers on growth and grain yield of kabuli chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) varieties. *Legume Research - An International Journal*. 2020;43(2):235-240.
9. Verma G, Yadav DD, Kumar A, Singh R, Babu S, Avasthe RK, Gudade BA, Sharma VK. Impact of fertility levels and biofertilizers on root architecture, yield and nutrient uptake of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Crop. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2020;9(2):2319-7706.

© 2023 Verma et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/97352>